

Sean McCormack

12 February 2022



Re: Proposed Addition to the RPS Ref 8849

To Whom it May Concern,

I am writing to register my strong objections to the addition of two walls at 4a Henrietta Lane to the Record of Protected Structures.

This proposed addition does not make any sense. The boundary wall between 3 and 4a Henrietta Lane is already protected as it is a boundary wall under separate ownership! And the façade frontage that this application claims is 18th century, is in fact a 20th century, poorly constructed addition.

Grade 1 Conservation Architect Tom McGimsey in his report on this property has stated that there is nothing of architectural significance here.

The boundary wall between 3 and 4a Henrietta Lane is protected because it is divided between owners on either side. In fact, this wall is divided between four different properties: 3 Henrietta Lane, 4a Henrietta Lane, 4b Henrietta Lane and a portion is owned by 4 Henrietta Street. It is ridiculous to attempt to add to the RPS, a wall that is already protected by four separate owners.

Henrietta Lane has been overlooked and neglected for many, many years. This attempt to add two walls of no architectural significance to the RPS would only result in care for this

area being further delayed and pushed back. This will leave these structures and Henrietta Lane vulnerable to further dereliction and neglect.

In addition, 4a Henrietta Lane cannot be seen from Henrietta Street. It is down Henrietta Lane and around a corner. Henrietta Lane is also a cul-de-sac. This property has no impact on Henrietta Street whatsoever

I therefore strongly object to this proposed addition of two walls at 4a Henrietta Lane to the RPS.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'SMC', followed by a horizontal line and a small arrow pointing to the right.

Sean McCormack